UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO.          |  |
|--|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 10/719,978   | 11/24/2003   | Gregg Budahazi       | 1530.0550001/JUK/JCI | 1530.0550001/JUK/JCI 1745 |  |
| 26111 7590 02/06/2008<br>STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.<br>1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. |              |                      | EXAMINER             |                           |  |
|  |              |                      | STRZELECKA, TERESA E |                           |  |
| WASHINGTO  | ON, DC 20005 |                      | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER              |  |
|  |              | ·                    | 1637                 |                           |  |
|  |              |                      |                      |                           |  |
|  |              |                      | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE             |  |
|  |              |                      | 02/06/2008           | PAPER                     |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.      | Applicant(s)    |
|----------------------|-----------------|
| 10/719,978           | BUDAHAZI ET AL. |
| Examiner             | Art Unit        |
| Teresa E. Strzelecka | 1637            |

| · = o.o.o a.o. i.i.i.g o. a.i. i.ppoar 2:ioi  | Examiner  | Art Unit   | 1  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Teresa E. Strzelecka  | 1637   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A   |   | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>                         | n the same day as filing a Notice of<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>potice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o<br>the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>idavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 Cl | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7   | 06.07(f).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da                                 | of the fee. The appropri   | iate extension fee<br>ce action: or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 January 2008</u> . A br<br>the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)),<br>appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl   | or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4  | 1.37(e)), to avoid disi  | missal of the                              |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  | but prior to the date of filing a brief,  | will not be entered be   | ecause                                     |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(a)          \overline{\text{They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);}</li> <li>(b)          \overline{\text{They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);}}</li> </ul>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1   | 16 and 41.33(a)).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>   |   | timely filed amendme   | nt canceling the                           |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.   | l be entered and an e  | xplanation of                              |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-39</u> .   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-20</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  | t before or on the date of filing a No<br>d sufficient reasons why the affidav  | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>it or other evidence is                | t be entered necessary and                 |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se  | ıl and/or appellant fail<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1                         | s to provide a<br>).                       |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after er  | try is below or attach   | ed.  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br/>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>   | t does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan  | ce because:                                |  |  |  |  |
| 12. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Other:  |   | Teresa Strue   | rlectia                                    |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Teresa E Strzelecka<br>Primary Examiner                                  | 1  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1637

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims 21 and 22 as well as the new claim 40 raise issues of new matter since some of the claimed ranges are not supported by the disclosure. Further, the newly added limitations require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue amended claims, and the amendments have not been entered, therefore the arguments are moot. Regarding the rejection of claims 30-39 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, Applicants argue that the claimed detection techniques cannot detect the levels of impurities in the purified DNA under any conditions. The question to Applicant: why claim them?